aminotetrazole (Britts and Karle¹⁷), the hydrazine salt of 5-aminotetrazole (Bryden¹⁸), and sodium tetrazolate monohydrate (Palenik¹⁹).

The nature of the Zn–Tz interaction may be summarized by comparing the results of this study with the results of the determination of the crystal structure of the iodopentamethylenetetrazolium monochloride complex (PMTIC1) by Baenziger and Nelson.⁴

In both complexes the following statements apply. The moiety coordinated to the tetrazole ring is essen-

- (17) K. Britts and I. L. Karle, Acta Crystallogr., 22, 308 (1967).
- (18) J. H. Bryden, ibid., 11, 31 (1958).
- (19) G. J. Palenik, ibid., 16, 596 (1963).

tially planar with the ring. The site of coordination to the tetrazole ring is identical (labeled N(4) in ZCTZ, N(2) in PMTICl). The coordination geometry at N(4) is essentially identical. Denoting values for the corresponding angles of PMTICl by an asterisk, the bond angles (degrees) about N(4) are as follows: N(3)– N(4)–C(5), 105 (2), 107 (2), 106* (4); N(3)–N(4)–Zn, 121 (2), 121 (2), 125* (4); C(5)–N(4)–Zn, 132 (2), 133 (2), 130* (4). These considerations suggest that the preferred mode of coordination in ZCTZ (and PMTICl) is by means of a charge-transfer σ bond to position N(4) of the tetrazole ring.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and the Polymer Research Institute of the Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Brooklyn, New York

Optically Active Boron. The Crystal Structure of (+)-4-Methylpyridinetrimethylaminebromohydroboron Hexafluorophosphate

BY GIUSEPPE ALLEGRA,* ETTORE BENEDETTI, CARLO PEDONE, AND SMITH L. HOLT

Received October 30, 1969

The first crystal structure of a stable optically active compound having a boron atom as the asymmetric center has been elucidated. (+)-4-Methylpyridinetrimethylaminebromohydroboron hexafluorophosphate (I) crystallizes in the monoclinic system, space group P_{2_1} , with a = 19.35 (1) Å, b = 7.870 (4) Å, c = 10.549 (5) Å, $\beta = 90^{\circ} 25'$ (10'). Two crystallographically independent formula units are present in the unit cell. The structure has been solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined to an R factor of 0.089 for 2481 independent nonzero reflections measured with counter technique (Cu $K\alpha$); the disagreement factor increases to 0.102 if 481 reflections below the limit of detectability are included. The geometrical parameters of the two independent cations, as well as of the two independent anions, are virtually identical. An almost regular sp⁸ hybridization of the boron atom results from the arrangement of the surrounding ligands. The stereochemistry of the cations is discussed on the basis of intra- and intermolecular interactions; the presence of two pairs of independent ionic species is rationalized in terms of the tendency to produce a pseudocentrosymmetric packing.

Introduction

Recently Ryschkewitsch and Garrett have reported the preparation and the resolution of the first examples of stable optically active compounds with the boron atom as the asymmetric center.¹ While the isoelectronic and isosteric analogs of this compound, having optically active carbon or nitrogen atoms, have never been resolved, the boron salts have proven to be highly stable toward racemization during the fractionation process. In view of the interest involved in the stereochemical knowledge of such compounds, we have undertaken a single-crystal X-ray investigation of one of them, namely, (+)-4-methylpyridinetrimethylaminebromohydroboron hexafluorophosphate (I). We now



* To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Istituto di Chimica Industriale del Politecnico di Milano, 20133 Milan, Italy.

(1) G. E. Ryschkewitsch and J. M. Garrett, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90, 7234 (1968).

report the results of the detailed analysis of the crystal and molecular structure of I.

Experimental Section

Colorless, needlelike single crystals of I, obtained from an acetone–water mixture (mp 136–137°, $[\alpha]$ D – 60.9°), were kindly donated by Dr. Ryschkewitsch. A small crystal of approximately cylindrical shape ($r \simeq 0.05$ mm, length $\simeq 0.5$ mm) was used for the X-ray investigation. From preliminary Weissenberg photographs the crystal was found to belong to monoclinic space group $P2_1$ (systematic (0k0) absences with k odd). A Picker four-circle automated diffractomer equipped with a PDP-8 digital computer was used for the collection of the intensity data. The unit cell dimensions were obtained from a least-squares treatment of the 2 θ , χ , and φ setting angles of 12 reflections with 2 θ > 80°, using a program prepared by Busing and Levy.² The resulting parameters are $a = 19.35 \pm 0.01$ Å, $b = 7.870 \pm 0.004$ Å, $c = 10.549 \pm 0.005 \text{ Å}, \beta = 90^{\circ} 25' \pm 10', d_{X-ray} = 1.607 (1)$ g/cm^3 with Z = 4, $d_{expti} = 1.58$ (2) g/cm^3 (flotation), $\mu = 51.56$ cm⁻¹, and $\lambda_{CuK\alpha}$ 1.5418 Å.

The experimental density agreed reasonably with the calculated density assuming four molecules of I per unit cell, thus requiring the presence of two crystallographically independent formula units. A total of 2962 integrated intensities were collected using a θ -2 θ scan mode (1.67°) with Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation in the range 0-130° of 2 θ . Two stationary-crystal, stationary-counter background counts of 10 sec were taken at each end of each scan. Since $\mu = 51.66 \text{ cm}^{-1} (\lambda 1.5418 \text{ Å})$ and

(2) Z. W. R. Busing and H. A. Levy, Acta Crystallogr., 22, 457 (1967).

Table I:	FINAL	ATOMIC	PARAMETERS ⁴
----------	-------	--------	-------------------------

-

		A	. Final Positional	l Parameters		
			-/.		2. Molecule b	~/~
- 43	x/a	y/b	z/c		y/0	B/C
Br(1)	0.3937(1)	0.0134(4)	0.6857(1)	0.0329(1)	0.0000	-0.1441(2)
$\mathbf{P}(1)$	0.1769(2)	0.2520(6)	0.4518(2)	0.3334(2)	-0.3883(5)	0.0547(3)
F(1)	0.1145(5)	0.2815(19)	0.3610(11)	0.4008(5)	-0.4290(19)	0.1364(9)
$\mathbf{F}(2)$	0.2046(9)	0.1153(26)	0.3581(11)	0.3085(6)	-0.2571(17)	0.1597(9)
$\mathbf{F}(3)$	0.2149(8)	0.3872(25)	0.3715(14)	0.2963 (7)	-0.5349(17)	0.1273 (11)
F(4)	0.2429(5)	0.2252(16)	0.5414 (11)	0.2664(5)	-0.3580(21)	-0.0269(9)
F(5)	0.1347(7)	0.1217(25)	0.5315(11)	0.3712(7)	-0.2462(18)	-0.0184(12)
F(6)	0.1528(9)	0.3904(25)	0.5416(15)	0.3566(6)	-0.5240(16)	-0.0514(18)
N(1)	0.4218(5)	-0.0795(15)	0.4201(9)	0.0980(5)	-0.0860(15)	0.0978(9)
N(2)	0.3476(5)	-0.2995(16)	0.5458(9)	0.1662(6)	0.1269(16)	-0.0370(10)
C(1)	0.4698(7)	-0.1370(19)	0.3406(13)	0.1324(6)	-0.2153(19)	0.1554(13)
C(2)	0.4793(7)	-0.0693(22)	0.2257(12)	0.1175(7)	-0.2667(19)	0.2751(13)
C(3)	0.4413(7)	0.0701(17)	0.1794(11)	0.0669(6)	-0.1922(19)	0.3408(11)
C(4)	0.3900 (22)	0.1234(22)	0.2638(12)	0.0273(7)	-0.0615(22)	0.2848(14)
C(5)	0.3820(6)	0.0553(17)	0.3827(11)	0.0442(6)	-0.0171(20)	0.1623(12)
C(6)	0.4502(10)	0.1396(26)	0.0492(15)	0.0479(11)	-0.2439(33)	0.4762 (17)
C(7)	0.3635(9)	-0.4306(23)	0.4485(17)	(* 0.2324 (8)	0.0945(24)	0.0369(17)
C(8)	0.2789(8)	-0.2187(28)	0.5092(19)	0.1351(11)	0.2840(23)	0.0203 (18)
C(9)	0.3391(11)	-0.3799(30)	0.6743(15)	0.1915(10)	0.1658(33)	-0.1728(15)
$\mathbf{B}(1)$	0.4098 (9)	-0.1686(32)	0.5526(14)	0.1209(8)	-0.0409(22)	-0.0401(14)
D Dimet	(T)	T in []/	(D 1.9*9) D 1.9	1 * 2 1 2 12 * 2 1 0 2 1	LL~*L* 1 02 Ll~*~*	$0R_{1}hh*_{2}$
B. Emai	Thermal Parame	eters $I = \exp[-1/2]$	$A(B_{11}h^*a^{**} + D_{22}k^*)$	$D^{**} + D_{33} U^{*} C^{**} + 2 D_{12} P_{12}$	$i Ra^{\circ} 0^{\circ} + 2D_{13} nia^{\circ} c^{\circ}$	+ 2D ₂₃ kt0 °C °)]
			1. Molecu	le a		
	B_{11}	B_{22}	B 33	B_{12}	B_{13}	B_{23}
Br(1)	10.9(1)	9.5(1)	4.5(1)	-4.1(1)	0.1(1)	-1 .3(1)
P(1)	5.0(1)	5.5(2)	4.0(1)	-0.2(1)	0.1(1)	0.0(1)
$\mathbf{F}(1)$	6,6(5)	9.9(7)	8,9(6)	-0.1(5)	-2.8(4)	0.3(6)
$\mathbf{F}(2)$	17.4(12)	14.2(12)	5.9(5)	5.6(11)	-0.6(6)	-2.2(7)
F(3) *	11.9(9)	14.0(12)	10.9(8)	-4.0(9)	-2.3(7)	6.2(9)
F(4)	5.7(4)	8.7 (7)	8.9(6)	-0.6(5)	-1.8(4)	0.3(6)
$\mathbf{F}(5)$	11.7(8)	15.3(13)	7.9(6)	-6.1(9)	-1.2(6)	3.6(8)
F(6)	15.4(11)	13.0(11)	11.5(9)	7.0(10)	-4.7(8)	-5.4(9)
N(1)	4.7(4)	4.6(5)	4.1(4)	-0.6(4)	-0.4(3)	0.7(4)
N(2)	4.0(5)	6.1(5)	3.3(4)	-1.5(4)	-0.7(4)	1.0(4)
$\dot{C}(1)$	5.2(6)	4.5(6)	5.2(6)	0.7(5)	0.6(4)	-1.2(5)
$\mathbf{C}(2)$	5.2(6)	6.4(8)	4,0(5)	-0.2(6)	0.2(4)	-0.1(6)
C(3)	5, 5(6)	4.5(6)	3.7(5)	-1.1(5)	0.0(4)	0.1(4)
C(4)	4.9(5)	6.2(7)	4.0(5)	-1.3(6)	0.8(4)	1.1(5)
C(5)	4.6(5)	4.8(6)	4.0(5)	-0.5(5)	1.0(4)	-0.6(4)
C(6)	8.9(10)	7.3(10)	5.3(7)	0.8(8)	2.0(7)	3.1(7)
$\dot{C(7)}$	7.5(9)	5.8(9)	7.5(9)	-2.0(7)	0.5(7)	-1.2(7)
C(8)	4.6(6)	7.5(10)	9.1(11)	-1.7(7)	-1.4(6)	1.3(9)
C(9)	10.0(11)	8.5(11)	4.7(7)	-1.1(10)	-0.2(7)	2.3(8)
$\mathbf{B}(1)$	5.2(7)	8.9(12)	3.4(6)	-0.4(8)	-0.4(5)	0.2(7)
			0 Malana	1. 1.		
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{r}}(1)$	6.7(1)	10, 0, (1)	2. Molecu	-0.9(1)	-2.3(1)	1.8(1)
$\mathbf{DI}(1)$	0.7(1)	10.9(1)	0.1(1) 3.6(1)	-0.9(1)	-2.3(1)	0.6(1)
$\Gamma(1)$ $\Gamma(1)$	4.0(1)	$\frac{4.0(1)}{12.5(10)}$	6 5 (1)	4.0(6)	-2.0(4)	-0.4(6)
F(1) F(0)	7.0(±) 9.9(5)	12.0(10)	5.6(4)	$\pm .0(0)$ 1.6(6)	0.6(4)	-1.7(5)
F(2) F(2)	12.7(9)	0.0(0)	7 8 (6)	-6.3(7)	0.0(4) 0.1(5)	2.5(6)
$\mathbf{F}(3)$	12.7(0) 6.6(4)	14.2(10)	6.2(4)	3.6(6)	-2.7(4)	-14(6)
F(4) F(5)	0.0(4) 11.7(8)	14.2(10)	$0.2(\pm)$ 0.2(7)	-4.6(7)	$-2.7(\pm)$ 1 1 (6)	29(6)
F(0) F(6)	11.7(8) 11.2(6)	8.6(7)	5.2(1) 5.0(4)	4.2(6)	0.6(4)	-0.5(4)
$\mathbf{P}(0)$ $\mathbf{N}(1)$	11.2(0) 2.7(1)	8.0(7) 4.5(4)	$5.0(\pm)$	$\frac{4}{2}(0)$	-0.3(3)	-0.3(4)
N(1)	5 0 (5)	4.3(5)	$\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$	-0.7(4)	0.5(0)	0.5(4)
C(1)	4.9(5)	4 5 (6)	5 6 (6)	0.5(5)	-0.8(4)	0.1(5)
C(2)	5 6 (6)	4 4 (6)	5 3 (6)	0.1(5)	-0.7(5)	0:4(5)
C(3)	5 0 (5)	4 6 (6)	3,9(5)	-1.3(5)	1.0(4)	-0.4(4)
C(4)	5 4 (6)	6 2 (7)	5.1 (6)	0.6(6)	0.4(5)	-1.1(6)
C(5)	4 4 (5)	4.9(6)	5.2(6)	0.7(5)	0.5(4)	-0.6(5)
C(6)	9.0(11)	9.8(14)	5.7(8)	-3.1(11)	0.9(7)	1.1(9)
$\mathbf{C}(7)$	5.2(6)	6.2 (8)	7.5(9)	0.7(7)	-1.0(6)	-0.2(8)
Č(8)	9.4 (11)	4.5(7)	7.8(9)	0.8(8)	0.0(8)	0.7(7)
C(9)	9.6(11)	11.6(15)	4.1(6)	-5.0(11)	1.9(7)	1.4(8)
$\mathbf{B}(1)$	4.6(6)	5.0(7)	4.4 (6)	0.4 (6)	0.1(5)	0.0(6)

^a Esd's in units of the last significant digit.



Figure 1.—ORTEP drawing of the thermal ellipsoids for the crystallographically independent unit, projected along the b axis. The two independent ions of each species are labeled as a and b.

proximately on the planes with y = 0 and y = 1/2; accordingly the positions of the two independent bromine atoms were located at nearly equal y coordinates thus giving rise to a quasicentrosymmetric arrangement. As a consequence the Fourier map calculated by phasing the reflections with the bromine atoms revealed the superimposition of two similar images of the structure, corresponding to a pseudosymmetry plane through the bromine atoms. However location of the two phosphorus atoms helped to remove part of the pseudosymmetry. The structure was then solved by three successive Fourier maps calculated after stepwise introduction of all the atoms. The refinement of the atomic parameters was carried out by means of seven cycles of 9×9 block-diagonal least-squares procedure,³ until the maximum shift in the atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal factors was less than one-third of the corresponding standard deviation. The weighting scheme adopted throughout the refinement corresponds



Figure 2.—Molecular packing of I, viewed along the *b* axis (*cf*. Figure 1). The shortest interatomic distances among different ions are indicated.

consequently $\mu R = 0.26$ (5), we felt it unnecessary to perform any absorption correction.

to that suggested by Cruickshank and Philling

$$w(hkl) = 1/a + bF_{o}(hkl) + cF_{o}^{2}(hkl)$$

Structure Determination and Refinement The structure was solved by the Patterson method. The heaviest interatomic vectors were seen to lie ap-

(3) A complete set of crystallographic programs (FORTRAN IV) written by A. Immirzi was used throughout the determination and refinement of the structure: A. Immirzi, *Ric. Sci.*, **37**, 743, 846, 850 (1967). TABLE II Observed and Calculated Structure Factors

 		, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			
	<pre># information proved in a provide state and information a</pre>	 10000000000000000000000000000000000000			

where, apart from a constant factor, $a = 2F_{\min}$, b = 1, and $c = 2/F_{\max}$.⁴ At the end of the refinement, ne-

(4) D. W. T. Cruickshank and D. E. Philling, "Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-Ray Crystal Analysis," R. Pepinsky, J. M. Robertson, and J. C. Speakman, Ed., Pergamon Press, New York, N. Y., 1961, p 32. glecting the hydrogen atoms, the disagreement factor $R (=\Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c||/|F_o|)$ is 0.102 for 2962 independent measured reflections (R = 0.089 if only the 2481 nonzero reflections are taken into account). The final fractional coordinates and thermal factors are reported

		TABLE II (Continued)		
HAL FOFC HAL FOFC	HKL FOFC HKL FOFC	HKL FOFC HKL FOFC	HILL FO FC HIXL FO FC	HXL FOFC HKL FOFC

in Table I together with their corresponding standard deviations; Figure 1 shows an ORTEP drawing of the thermal ellipsoids. A list of the observed and calculated structure factors is also given in Table II, while Table III lists bond lengths, bond angles, and some relevant dihedral angles for the independent unit of the structure.

Discussion of the Structure

The presence of two chemically identical but crystallographically independent formula units in the unit cell, a and b in Figures 1 and 2, is rather unusual. However, as can be seen from the figures, a pseudocentrosymmetric arrangement of the ions exists around the points with approximate fractional coordinates $(1/_4, -0.06, 1/_4)$, $(1/_4, -0.06, 3/_4)$, and the symmetry-related ones. While the PF₆⁻ ions are in good agreement with such pseudosymmetry, the cations depart from it as far as the positions in space of the bromine atoms are concerned. This departure results from the presence of optically active cations having all the same chirality, as it is unambiguously derived from the spatial distribution of the three Fourier-detectable substituents attached to the boron atoms (the hydrogen atom must necessarily occupy the remaining tetrahedral site). A virtually complete centrosymmetry could be achieved only through the exchange of Br with H in the coordination sites around the boron atom in either of the two independent cations, producing a racemic mixture. We believe that the present structure represents an interesting example in which the tendency toward the retention of a center of symmetry-which in most cases is a favorable condition of good packing—associated with the presence of chiral isomorphous molecules, is the driving force toward the occurrence of two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit.

The geometrical parameters (bond lengths, bond angles, and dihedral angles) of the four independent ionic species are reported in Table III. Neither of the two pairs of independent ions shows any substantial differences in geometry: in Figure 3 the average dimen-



Figure 3.—Molecular geometry of the cation; the parameters are averaged over the two crystallographically independent individuals. For the esd and the dihedral angles, *cf.* Table III.

sions of the cation are reported. As for the hexafluorophosphate anion, its geometry can be described as a slightly distorted octahedron (cf. Table III), with a d_{P-F} average value of 1.57 \pm 0.04 Å.⁵ The two chemically different B-N bond lengths do not show apprecia-

(5) In the present paper the deviations associated with any average value indicate the range of the individual values.

Table III Molecular Dimensions^a

	Molecule a	Molecule b
А.	Bond Lengths, Å	
Br(1)-B(1)	2.03(2)	2.05(2)
P(1)-F(1)	1.55(1)	1.59(1)
P(1)-F(2)	1.56(2)	1.59(1)
P(1)-F(3)	1.55(2)	1.56(1)
P(1)-F(4)	1.60(1)	1.57(1)
P(1) - F(5) D(1) - F(6)	1.56(2) 1.58(2)	1.55(1)
$P(1) \rightarrow F(0)$ $N(1) P(1)$	1.52(2)	1.61(1) 1.56(0)
N(1) - D(1) N(1) - C(1)	1.08(2) 1.24(2)	1.00(2) 1.26(2)
N(1) - C(1) N(1) - C(5)	1.34(2) 1.37(2)	1.30(2) 1.36(2)
N(2)-B(1)	1.59(2)	1.50(2) 1.59(2)
N(2)-C(7)	1.49(2)	1.52(2)
N(2)-C(8)	1.52(2)	1.55(2)
N(2)-C(9)	1.51(2)	1.50(2)
C(1)-C(2)	1.34(2)	1.36(2)
C(2)-C(3)	1.41(2)	1.34(2)
C(3)-C(4)	1.40(2)	1.41(2)
C(4)-C(5)	1.37(2)	1.38(2)
C(3) - C(6)	1.49(2)	1.53(2)
$B_{1} = P(1) = F(2)$	Bond Angles, Deg	00.979
$F(1) \rightarrow F(1) \rightarrow F(2)$ $F(1) \rightarrow F(1) \rightarrow F(3)$	88,9(4) 86,0(4)	90.3(3)
F(1) = P(1) = F(3) F(1) = P(1) = F(4)	$177 \ 8 (4)$	$177 \ 1 \ (4)$
F(1)-P(1)-F(5)	91 3 (4)	91.6(3)
F(1)-P(1)-F(6)	92.1(4)	90.7(3)
F(2) - P(1) - F(3)	87.7 (5)	89.7(3)
F(2)-P(1)-F(4)	90.4(3)	91.7(3)
F(2)-P(1)-F(5)	94.1(4)	91.4(4)
F(2)-P(1)-F(6)	177.3(4)	178.4(4)
F(3)-P(1)-F(4)	92.0(4)	90.0(3)
F(3)-P(1)-F(5)	176.6(4)	178.8(4)
F(3)-P(1)-F(6)	89.8(5)	89.0(3)
F(4) - P(1) - F(5) F(4) - D(1) - F(6)	90.8(4) 88 F(F)	90.5(4)
$F(4) \rightarrow F(1) \rightarrow F(0)$ $F(5) \rightarrow P(1) \rightarrow F(6)$	88.0(0) 89.2(5)	87.3(3)
R(1) = R(1) = N(1)	108 8 (3)	
Br(1)-B(1)-N(2)	100.0(0) 111.7(6)	107.1(0) 109.7(5)
N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	111.4(5)	109.3(5)
B(1)-N(2)-C(7)	108.7 (6)	109.5(6)
B(1)-N(2)-C(8)	113.7 (6)	118.0(7)
B(1)-N(2)-C(9)	108.7(7)	109.0(6)
B(1)-N(1)-C(1)	120.8(5)	116.4(5)
B(1)-N(1)-C(5)	120.9(6)	126.7(6)
C(7) - N(2) - C(8)	107.4(6)	105.7(6)
C(7) = N(2) = C(9) C(8) = N(2) = C(9)	110.7(6) 107.6(6)	103.7(5) 100.0(6)
N(1) = C(1) = C(2)	107.0(0) 122.3(7)	109.9(0)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	122.5(7) 123.4(6)	122.2(0) 120.7(6)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	112.6(6)	120.1(0) 120.1(7)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	123.2(6)	116.4(6)
C(4)-C(5)-N(1)	120.0(5)	123.7(6)
C(5)-N(1)-C(1)	118.3 (6)	116.7(6)
C(2)-C(3)-C(6)	122.9(7)	123.2(7)
C(4)-C(3)-C(6)	124.3(7)	116.7(7)
C. Internal Rotation A	ngles, Deg (Trans Coni	formation 180°)
N(1) - B(1) - N(2) - C(7) N(1) - B(1) - N(2) - C(8)	99 — 60	00 56
N(1) - B(1) - N(2) - C(0)		- 50 178
Br(1)-B(1)-N(2)-C(7)	179	178
Br(1)-B(1)-N(2)-C(8)	61	61
Br(1)-B(1)-N(2)-C(9)	-58	-66
C(1)-N(1)-B(1)-Br(1)	140	138
C(5)-N(1)-B(1)-Br(1)	-42	- 38
C(1)-N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	-97	-104
C(5)-N(1)-B(1)-N(2)	81	81

^a Esd's in units of the last significant digit.

ble difference, and their average value (1.579 ± 0.015) Å) is in the range of values quoted in the literature for B-N bond lengths involving tetrahedral boron atoms.⁶ To the best of our knowledge, the B-Br distance (average 2.038 ± 0.008 Å) is the first reported bond length of this type involving a B atom with sp³ hybridization, and it is appreciably longer than the B-Br distance reported for the gaseous BBr₃ molecule $(1.87 \pm 0.02 \text{ Å})$.⁷ The N-B-N and N-B-Br bond angles are all close to the tetrahedral value (within $\pm 2.5^{\circ}$), in agreement with the sp³ hybridization on the boron atom. The systematic increase of 1-2° of these bond angles in ion b compared to a is probably significant (the average esd on the angles is 0.4°) and is to be attributed to packing effects. In both cations, the observed compression of the Br-B-N (picolinic) angle is apparently due to the steric interactions involving the bulky $-N(CH_3)_3$ group. One would expect that the nonbonded interactions around the boron atom would give rise to some increase of the N-B-N and N-B-Br angles with respect to the tetrahedral value. The observed values, however, do not differ on the average. This may be rationalized as follows. Since B-H is certainly the shortest of the four B-X bonds, the repulsion between the bonding electron pairs around the boron atom is responsible for some compression effect, which leads to the observed geometry (Sidgwick and Powell's rule).⁸ The rotation around the $B-N(CH_3)_3$ bond (cf. Table III and Figure 4) obtains a nearly staggered arrangement of the sub-



Figure 4.—View of the cation (average geometry) along the B(1)-N(2) bond. The shortest intramolecular distances between atoms separated by more than three bonds are indicated. Only one hydrogen atom has been represented (see text).

stituents around the B and N atoms. The CH_3 -N-CH₃ bond angles are slightly smaller than the tetrahedral

(6) S. Geller and J. L. Hoard, Acta Crystallogr., **4**, 399 (1951); J. L. Hoard, S. Geller, and T. B. Owen, *ibid.*, **4**, 405 (1951).

(7) "Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions," Chemical Society, Burlington House, W.1, London, 1958, p 53.
(8) N. V. Sidgwick and H. M. Powell, Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A, 176, 153

(1940).

value (107.5 \pm 3.5°), only one of the six independent measurements being larger than 109.5° (see Table III). The C(8)–N–B angle (average 116.0 \pm 2.1°) is significantly larger than the other two corresponding angles (average 108.9 \pm 0.8°), apparently because of the larger steric repulsions involving the C(8) group, which is in "gauche" orientation with respect to both of the bulky substituents on the boron atom.

The

group is planar within experimental error. The msd's from the average plane are 0.018 and 0.027 Å for cations a and b, respectively; the equations of the corresponding planes, in terms of fractional coordinates, are 0.6388x +0.6753y + 0.3640z - 1.1072 = 0, for a, and 0.6611x +0.6389y + 0.3885z - 6.7044 = 0, for b. The dihedral angles between the picolinic group and the Br-B-N(1) and N(2)-B-N(1) planes are (average) 40.0 ± 2.5 and $81.0 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$, respectively; the larger dihedral angle involving the bulkier $-N(CH_8)_3$ group is very likely due to larger steric repulsions. As it is shown in Figure 4, the pyridine ring is nearly orthogonal to the N-B-N plane (average value of the dihedral angle $82 \pm 1^{\circ}$); the departure from the 90° value seems to be due to the necessity of increasing the intramolecular Br \cdots H distance (2.7 Å), see Figure 4; the distance has been evaluated assuming the H atom in the normal stereochemical position), which still falls short of the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.1 Å).⁹

The geometry of the pyridine ring of the picolinic residue does not differ, within experimental error, from that established for pyridine by microwave measurements.¹⁰ As expected in an ionic structure, ions with the same charge tend to avoid close contacts. As reported in Figure 2, where hydrogen atoms are neglected, the shortest interatomic distance between different cations is 3.70 Å (Br···C); as for the PF₆⁻ ions the F···F distances are never shorter than 4 Å. On the other hand, interatomic contact between ions of opposite charge can be as close as 3.12 Å (F···CH₃) and 3.39 Å (F···N of the picolinic ring).

Acknowledgment.—We wish to thank Professor Ryschkewitsch, who kindly supplied the compound. We also thank Professor M. Goodman for his interest in this work and gratefully acknowledge the support of research grants from the National Science Foundation (GB 7558) and the National Institutes of Health (GM 08974).

(10) B. Bank, L. Hansen, and J. Rastrup-Andersen, J. Chem. Phys., 22, 2013 (1954).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Dimeric Tetramethyl-1,3-diisothiocyanatodistannoxane, [((CH₃)₂SnNCS)₂O]₂

BY YEH MEI CHOW

Received October 27, 1969

The crystal structure of dimeric tetramethyl-1,3-diisothiocyanatodistannoxane has been determined from three-dimensional X-ray diffraction data. It forms monoclinic crystals in space group C2/m with a = 21.73 (4) Å, b = 7.88 (1) Å, c = 10.96 (2) Å, and $\beta = 134.7$ (2)°. The intensities of 546 independent reflections were obtained by visual estimation of film data. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier syntheses and refined by the least-squares method to a conventional R factor of 8.0%. The dimeric molecule has 2/m symmetry with a central planar four-membered $\operatorname{Sn}(2)_2 O_2$ ring. Secondary bonding (2.84 (4) Å) between the isothiocyanate nitrogens of these tin atoms and the exocyclic tins forms two additional planar $\operatorname{Sn}(2)\operatorname{OSn}(1)\cdots\operatorname{N}(2)$ rings. The endocyclic tin is thus pentacoordinate, approximately trigonal bipyramidal. Still further weak $\operatorname{Sn}(1)\cdots\operatorname{S}(2)$ linkage (3.33 (3) Å) between dimers results in distorted octahedral hexacoordination for the exocyclic tin atom and infinite chains parallel to [001]. Distances are $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-O = 2.05(5)$, $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-\operatorname{N}(1) = 2.06$ (4), $\operatorname{Sn}(1)-\operatorname{CH}_3(1) = 2.07$ (3), $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-O = 1.99$ (3), $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-O = 2.15$ (4), $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-\operatorname{N}(2) = 2.39$ (8), and $\operatorname{Sn}(2)-\operatorname{CH}_3(2) = 2.15$ (3) Å. Both NCS groups are linear with distances $\operatorname{N}(1)-\operatorname{C}(1) = 1.17$ (7), $\operatorname{C}(1)-\operatorname{S}(1) = 1.61$ (6), $\operatorname{N}(2)-\operatorname{C}(2) = 1.10$ (7), and $\operatorname{C}(2)-\operatorname{S}(2) = 1.64$ (5) Å.

Introduction

The crystal structure reported here is a part of a general investigation of donor-acceptor interactions between pseudohalogens and heavy atoms in the solid state. Many tetraalkyldistannoxane derivatives $(XR_2-Sn)_2O$ and the related hydroxides $XR_2SnOSnR_2OH$ are known (X = halogen, pseudohalogen, carboxylate, nitrate, alkoxide, or phenoxide). Pfeiffer and Brack¹ in 1914 assumed that the compound $(XR_2Sn)_2O$ had the structure I; however, Harada² argued the case for a cyclic structure II where the tin atoms have coordination numbers of 4 and 5; on rather insecure evidence he

(1) P. Pfeiffer and O. Brack, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 87, 229 (1914).

(2) R. K. Ingham, S. D. Rosenberg, and H. Gilman, Chem. Rev., 60, 459 (1960).

⁽⁹⁾ L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960, p 260.